

**NON-TRIBAL COMMERCIAL GAMBLING EXPANSION.  
TRIBAL GAMING COMPACT AMENDMENTS. REVENUES, TAX EXEMPTIONS.  
INITIATIVE CONSTITUTIONAL AMENDMENT AND STATUTE.**

PROP  
**68**

**ARGUMENT Against Proposition 68**

*Message from Governor Arnold Schwarzenegger: "I am officially opposed to Proposition 68, and I strongly urge you to VOTE NO."*

This measure is not what it seems. While proponents claim the measure will force Indian gaming tribes to pay their fair share to the state, Proposition 68 does nothing of the sort.

Proposition 68 is not a guaranteed source of revenues for California from Indian gaming tribes. Instead it authorizes 16 new Las Vegas-style casinos to be built in urban areas throughout California.

Governor Schwarzenegger has a vision for California that does NOT include making our state the next pot of gold for commercial casino gambling interests. Governor Schwarzenegger believes casino gaming should be limited to Indian lands.

THE NEW AGREEMENTS GOVERNOR SCHWARZENEGGER NEGOTIATED WITH MANY INDIAN GAMING TRIBES ARE A WINNER FOR TRIBES AND TAXPAYERS. These agreements keep California's promise to Indian tribes while making them pay their fair share. They promote cooperation between tribes and local governments to deal with the impact on law enforcement, traffic congestion, and road construction. Unfortunately, Proposition 68 could destroy these new agreements.

The 16 new casinos authorized by Proposition 68 are located in urban areas of California. They will be near 200 schools and major streets and freeways in Los Angeles, the San Francisco Bay Area and San Diego, further congesting our crowded roads.

NOT A SINGLE PENNY FROM THIS INITIATIVE CAN BE USED TO HELP BALANCE THE STATE BUDGET. Further, the promoters of Proposition 68 have written it so they are exempt from paying any future increases in state and local taxes.

GOVERNOR SCHWARZENEGGER JOINS MORE THAN 400 PUBLIC SAFETY, TAXPAYER, AND OTHER LEADERS IN SAYING:

**VOTE NO ON 68**

California Police Chiefs Association, California State Firefighters' Association, California Coalition of Law

Enforcement Associations, California District Attorneys Association, More than 50 California Indian Tribes, State Treasurer Phil Angelides, State Controller Steve Westly, Superintendent of Public Instruction Jack O'Connell, Crime Victims United of California, Peace Officers Research Association of California, Sierra Club California, California School Boards Association, The Seniors Coalition, Prevent Child Abuse California, California Taxpayer Protection Committee.

**AND 34 COUNTY SHERIFFS:**

• Sheriff James Allen • Sheriff Terry Bergstrand • Sheriff Virginia Black • Sheriff Ed Bonner • Sheriff Bob Brooks • Sheriff Bill Cogbill • Sheriff Anthony Craver • Sheriff John Crawford • Sheriff Jim Denney • Sheriff Bob Doyle • Sheriff Robert Doyle • Sheriff Bill Freitas • Sheriff Curtis Hill • Sheriff William Kolender • Sheriff Dan Lucas • Sheriff Ken Marvin, Ret. • Sheriff Scott Marshall • Sheriff Rodney Mitchell • Sheriff Bruce Mix • Sheriff Daniel Paranic • Sheriff Clay Parker • Sheriff Gary Penrod • Sheriff Charles Plummer • Sheriff Jim Pope • Sheriff Ed Prieto • Sheriff Michael Prizmich • Sheriff Perry Reniff • Sheriff Richard Rogers • Sheriff Warren Rupf • Sheriff Robert Shadley, Jr. • Sheriff Gary Simpson • Sheriff Gary Stanton • Sheriff Mark Tracy • Sheriff Dean Wilson.

**PROP. 68 WOULD RESULT IN A HUGE EXPANSION OF CASINO GAMBLING ON NON-INDIAN LANDS.**

It's a sweetheart deal for the gambling interests behind it, another broken promise to Indian tribes, and a bad deal for the rest of us.

**VOTE NO ON 68. STOP THE DECEPTIVE GAMBLING PROPOSITION.**

ARNOLD SCHWARZENEGGER, *Governor  
State of California*

JEFF SEDIVEC, *President*

*California State Firefighters' Association*

WAYNE QUINT, JR., *President*

*California Coalition of Law Enforcement Associations*

**REBUTTAL to Argument Against Proposition 68**

"[Arnold Schwarzenegger] wants to renegotiate gaming compacts with casino-operating Indian tribes in the hopes of getting tribes to share revenue with the state. He noted tribes pay Connecticut 25 percent of their revenues, and said such an arrangement could pay for 'thousands of police officers, thousands of teachers.' "

—*Sacramento Bee, Sept. 24, 2003*

We agreed then and we agree now. It makes zero sense for the overwhelming majority of Indian casinos—a \$6–\$8 billion industry—to operate in California while paying virtually nothing to support the common good.

It's time for these immensely profitable Indian casinos to give something back to the state that has given them the most lucrative gaming monopoly in history. It's time for the people of California to get their fair share.

Proposition 68 isn't a blank check for the politicians in Sacramento. It requires a real and meaningful fair share payment that must be used to hire local police and

sheriffs, keep local fire stations open, and fund proven educational programs for abused and neglected children.

To make sure it's truly fair, we give the Indian casinos the final choice. They choose to make this 25% contribution—just as they do in New York and Connecticut. Otherwise, the state will allow limited and highly regulated competition with an even bigger financial return to California's communities.

Before you make your decision, please read the initiative. We think you'll agree: it's time the Indian casinos did the right thing. And pay their fair share.

LEE BACA, *Sheriff*

*County of Los Angeles*

LOU BLANAS, *Sheriff*

*County of Sacramento*

ROY BURNS, *President*

*Association of Los Angeles Deputy Sheriffs (ALADS)*